

Computational Intelligence Group Dept. CCIA, UPV/EHU, San Sebastián, Spain <u>www.ehu.es/ccwintco</u>

A Comparison of VBM results by SPM, ICA and LICA

Darya Chyzyk, Maite Termenón, Alexandre Savio

Content

- Introduction: Voxel-Based Morphometry.
- Voxel-wise statistical tests
- The Lattice Independent Component Analysis
- A VBM case study
- Summary and Conclusions

Introduction

- Voxel-Based Morphometry (VBM)
 - Measures differences in local concentrations of brain tissue through a voxel-wise comparison of multiple brain images.
 - Procedure:
 - Images spatial normalization
 - Segmentation
 - Smoothing
 - Voxel-wise statistical tests



Voxel-Based Morphometry Pre-processing Overview



Statistical Analysis





w is d-dimension additive observation noise vector.

Voxel-wise statistical tests

$$x = Ea + w$$



Unknown linear mixing process

- Lattice Independent Component Analysis (LICA) Two steps:
 - Selection of Strong Lattice Independent (SLI) vectors from input dataset using an Endmember Induction Algorithm (EIA).
 - 2. Perform the linear unmixing of the input dataset based on the endmembers.
 - The endmembers are equivalent to the GLM design matrix columns.
 - Unmixing process is identical to the least square estimator.

Linear mixing model:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i e_i + w = Ea + w$$

- X is *d*-dimension pattern vector corresponding to the MRI voxel time series vector.
- E is dxM matrix whose columns are the d-dimensional vectors.
- *a* is *M*-dimension vector of linear mixing coefficients.
- W s d-dimension additive observation noise vector.

Theoretical constraints

- non-negative $a_i \ge 0, i = 1, ..., M$
- and normalized to unity summation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i = 1$$

- It is expected that:
 - Vectors in E are affinely independent
 - Convex region defined by E includes all the data points.
- Unmixing
 - Least Squared Error (LSE) Estimation.

 $\widetilde{a} = (E^T E)^{-1} E^T x$

• Even when vectors in E are affinely independent, obtained coefficients do not necessarily fulfill both restriction.

- Induce from the given data a set of Strongly Lattice Independent vectors, taken as a set of affine independent vectors.
- Apply the unrestricted LSE to obtain the mixing matrix.

- Advantages:
 - No imposition of statistical assumptions.
 - One pass algorithm and very fast.
 - Unsupervised and incremental.
 - Natural detection of endmembers numbers.

• Experimental data:

Ninety eight right-handed women (aged 65-96 yr) were selected from the Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS) database (http://www.oasis-brains.org).

	Very mild to mild AD	Normal
No. of subjects	49	49
Age	78.08 (66-96)	77.77 (65-94)
Education	2.63 (1-5)	2.87 (1-5)
Socioeconomic Status	2.94 (1-5)	2.88 (1-5)
CDR (0.5 / 1 / 2)	31 / 17 / 1	0
MMSE	24 (15-30)	28.96 (26-30)

Image parameters: TR= 9.7 msec., TE= 4.0 msec., Flip angle= 10, TI= 20 msec., TD= 200 msec., 128 sagittal 1.25 mm slices without gaps and pixels resolution of 256×256 (1 × 1mm)

- Algorithms applied:
 - SPM and FSL approach (Figure 1).
 - Preprocessed volumes are used as inputs for ICA and LICA algorithms.
 - Significative voxel detection given by threshold on mixing/abundance coeff = 95% of the histogram.
 - Figure 2 shows the activation results corresponding to the 3d endmember detected by LICA.





Figure 1. FSL significative voxel detection

Figure 2. LICA activation results for endmember #3

Table1. Correlation among ICA and LICA mixing coefficients, before (left) and after (right) thresholding for activation detection.

	ICA ML			ICA ML					
LICA	#1	#2	#3	#4	LICA	#1	#2	#3	#4
#1	0.05	0.24	0.44	-0.01	#1	0.003	0.09	0.34	0.03
#2	0.19	0.10	-0.28	-0.60	#2	0.15	0.05	-0.02	-0.02
#3	0.9	0.67	0.30	0.24	#3	0.01	0.66	0.007	0.08
#4	0.69	1.04	0.26	-0.18	#4	0.26	-0.01	0.13	-0.00

Best relation is between the third LICA endmember and the second ICA source, because their correlation does not drop after thresholding, contrary to LICA#4 with ICA#1 whose correlation drops dramatically after thresholding for significance detection.

Table2. Agreement between SPM, FSL, ICA and LICA.

	#1	#2	#3	#4
ICA vs SPM	-0.11	0.32	-0.02	0.02
LICA vs SPM	-0.03	-0.03	0.23	-0.06
ICA vs FSL	0.08	0.56	0.03	0.07
LICA vs FSL	0.07	0.02	0.58	0.20

The agreement between the third endmember of LICA and the second source of ICA ML obtains a further support, because both are the ones that show maximal agreement with SPM and FSL, and in both ICA and LICA the agreement with FSL is greater than with SPM results. 17

HAIS, San Sebastián, june 2010

Summary and Conclusions

- We have proposed and applied LICA to the model-free (unsupervised) VBM analysis.
- LICA is based on the EIA algorithm for the endmembers selection and the linear unmixing of the data based on these endmembers.
- We find:
 - Strong agreement between LICA and ICA results.
 - Results compared with SPM and FSL algorithms and also with ICA unsupervised approach, and we identify endmembers and sources that correspond closely to the significative detection of results in SPM and FSL, providing a validation of the approach.

Thank You for Your Attention!