**Bayesian Reflectance Component Separation** 

R. Moreno and A. d'Anjou and M. Graña and M.C. Hernández Computational Intelligence Group Universidad del País Vasco

**KES 2009** 011111000011110010111

<sup>10100010001010101010101</sup> 110001000 http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

**Reflectance Analysis** 

**KES 2009** 1 / 22

590





#### Introduction

- We work on a Bayesian approach to the estimation of the specular component of a color image, based on the Dichromatic Reflection Model.
- The separation of diffuse and specular components is important for color image segmentation.
- In this work we postulate a prior and likelihood energies that model the reflectance estimation process.
- Minimization of the posterior energy gives the desired reflectance estimation.
- The approach includes the illumination color normalization and the computation of a specular free image to test the pure diffuse reflection hypothesis.

# **Reflection Modelling**







This sketch represent the Dichromatic Reflection Model (DRM). It was introducer by Safer

- The perception of a surface point can be expressed as the sum of two components
  - The first one represent the **diffuse component**. It has a direction and a weigthing factor
  - The other one represent the **specular component**. It has a direction and a weigthing factor too

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

**Reflectance Analysis** 

KES 2009 3 / 22

San

# Reflection Modelling

 $\mathbf{I}(x) = w_d(x) \int_{\Omega} S(\lambda, x) E(\lambda) \mathbf{q}(\lambda) d\lambda + w_s(x) \int_{\Omega} E(\lambda) \mathbf{q}(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (1)$ 

$$I(x) = w_d(x)\mathbf{B}(x) + w_s(x)\mathbf{G},$$
(2)

- $\mathbf{I} = \{I_r, I_g, I_b\}$  is the color of an image pixel obtained through a camera sensor
- $x = \{x, y\}$  are the two dimensional coordinates of the pixel in the image
- $\mathbf{q} = \{q_r, q_g, q_b\}$  is the three element vector of sensor sensitivity
- $w_d(x)$  and  $w_s(x)$  are the weighting factors for diffuse and specular components, respectively
- $S(\lambda, x)$  is the diffuse spectral reflectance
- $E(\lambda)$  is the illumination spectral power distribution function
- $\bullet\,$  The integration is done over the visible light spectrum  $\Omega\,$

< 市

(3)

(4)

#### Reflection Modelling. Chromatic Terms

Image Chromaticity (normalized RGB space)

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{\mathbf{I}(x)}{I_r(x) + I_g(x) + I_b(x)}$$

#### **Diffuse Chromaticity**

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{\mathbf{B}(x)}{B_r(x) + B_g(x) + B_b(x)}$$

Specular or Illumination Source Chromaticity

$$\Gamma = \frac{\mathbf{G}}{G_r + G_g + G_l}$$

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

**Reflectance Analysis** 

KES 2009 5 / 22

San

(5)

(6)

(7)

#### Reflection Modelling. Chromatic terms

Image written in terms of diffuse an specular chromaticity

$$I(x) = m_d(x)\Lambda(x) + m_s(x)\Gamma$$

The illumination normalized image is computed as

$$I'(x) = \frac{I(x)}{\Gamma^{est}(x)}$$

where  $\Gamma^{est}$  is the estimation of the illumination color.

The normalized image can be expressed as

$$I'(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda'(x) + m'_s(x)/3$$

where  $\Lambda'$  is the normalized diffuse chromaticity.

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

**Reflectance Analysis** 

KES 2009 6 / 22

(8)

Sac

#### Reflection Modelling. Specular Free Image

$$I'(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda'(x) + m'_s(x)/3$$

$$\tilde{I}(x) = min\{I'_r(x), I'_g(x), I'_b(x)\}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(x) = \min\{\Lambda'_r(x), \Lambda'_g(x), \Lambda'_b(x)\}$$

$$\tilde{I}(x) = m'_d(x)\tilde{\Lambda}(x) + \frac{m'_s(x)}{3}$$

(9)

#### Specular-Free

$$I^{sf}(x) = I'(x) - \tilde{I}(x) = m'_d(x) \left[\Lambda'(x) - \tilde{\Lambda}(x)\right]$$
(10)

< 口 > < 同

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Dac

#### Reflection Modelling. Separation Method

- - Our goal is look for a diffuse image. That is, we are going to remove the specular component
  - Then we are going to explore the mathetical properties of the Specular Free image
  - We rely on the derivative of the logarithm to formulate an equation for the energy function of a bayesian model

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} log(I^{sf}(x)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} log(m'_d(x))$ 

#### Reflection Modelling. Separation Method

A diffuse pixel in a normalized image	A pixel in a Specular Free Image
$I'(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda'(x) + m'_s(x)/3$	$I^{sf}(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda^{sf}(x)$
$I'(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda'(x)$	$I^{sf}(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda^{sf}$
$I'(x) = m'_d(x)\Lambda'$	$log(I^{sf}(x)) = log(m'_d(x) + log(\Lambda^{sf}))$
$log(I'(x)) = log(m'_{I}(x) + log(\Lambda'))$	

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} log(I'(x)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} log(m'_d(x))$$

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

**Reflectance Analysis** 

KES 2009 9 / 22

#### Reflection Modelling. Separation Method

The method is based on the difference of the image logarithm differentials

$$\Delta(x) = dlog(I'(x)) - dlog(I^{sf}(x))$$
(11)

if  $\triangle(x) = 0$ , then is a diffuse pixel

With this strategy we can detect diffuse pixels.

# **Bayesian Modelling**

Given an image f and a desired unknown response of a computational process d, Bayesian reasoning gives, as the estimate of d, the image wich maximizes the *A Posteriori* distribution

 $P(d|f) \propto e^{-U(d|f)}$ 

where P(d|f) is equivalent to  $e^{-U(d|f)}$ 

# Bayesian Modelling

- We assume a Gibbisian distribution for the potential energy.
- Besides the A Posteriori energy U(d|f) can be decomposed in to the A Priori U(d) and Likelihood (Conditional) U(f|d) energies

$$U(d|f) = U(f|d) + U(d)$$

The Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimate is equivalent minimize the posterior energy function

$$d^* = \arg\min_d U(d|f) \tag{12}$$

101000100010101010107

00001111001011

#### **Bayesian Modelling**

#### A Posteriory Energy = A Priory Energy + Likelihood Energy

#### Likelihood

The **Likelihood energy** U(f|d) measures the cost caused by the discrepancy between the input image f and the solution d.

#### Prior

The **A** Priori energy U(d) is a model of the desired solution.

San

#### Bayesian Modelling. Likelihood Energy

We will assume a Gaussian Likelihood distribution plus a Chromaticity preservation constraint, therefore the Likelihood energy will have the following expression:

$$U(f|d) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(f_i - d_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Psi_i^f - \Psi_i^d\right)^2$$
(13)

where  $f_i$  and  $d_i$  are the RGB pixel values a the *i*-th pixel position for the observed and desired image, respectively. Also,  $\Psi_i^f$  and  $\Psi_i^d$ denote the chromaticity pixels of the observed and desired image, respectively.

#### Bayesian Modelling. A Priori Energy

#### The A Priori energy is built up from two components.

$$U(d) = U_{\triangle}(d) + U_{\Psi}(d) \tag{14}$$

The first one models the Chromaticity continuity:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\Psi}(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in N_i} \sum_{c \in \{r,g,b\}} \left( \Psi^d_{i,c} - \Psi^d_{j,c} \right)^2$$

This equation is necesary, because we are assuming that two neighboring pixels have the same chromaticity. It oblied us to detect and reject noise pixels and color discontinuity pixels

# Bayesian Modelling. A Priori Energy

The second term models the estimation of the derivatives as the cliques of the RMF. That is, we assume that the local energy at

pixel  $d_i$  is defined as

$$U_{ riangle}\left(d_{i}
ight)=\left(dlog(d_{i})-dlog(d_{i}^{sf})
ight)^{2}$$

where  $d_i^{sf}$  is the *i*-th specular free pixel.

#### Bayesian Modelling. A Priori Energy

• The second term of A Priori energy is derived as:

$$U_{ riangle}\left(d_{i}
ight)=\left(\sum_{j\in N_{i}}\sum_{c\in\{r,g,b\}}\lograc{d_{j,c}d_{i,c}^{sf}}{d_{i,c}d_{j,c}^{sf}}
ight)^{2}$$

• The derivative component of the A Priori energy is, therefore, the addition of these local energies:

$$U_{ riangle}\left(d
ight)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}U\left(d_{i}
ight)$$

01100111; • And the A Priori energy is given by the addition. 101000100010101010

$$U(d) = U_{\triangle}(d) + U_{\Psi}(d)$$
(15)

### Experimental Results

- The starting value for the energy minimization process is set to  $f = d(0) = \mathbf{I}'.$
- Each iteration step of the energy minization involves the computation of the specular free image  $d^{sf}(t)$  of the current hypothesis d(t) of the optimal estimation  $d^*$ .
- We have employed a simple heuristic to determine the new hypothesis d (t + 1), consisting in the reduction of the intensity of the pixels preserving their chromacity components relative ratios.

# Experimental Results



From left to right:

- The original image
- 2 The estimated diffuse image
- The estimated specular image
- The energy behavoir

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

#### Experimental Results





From left to right:

- The original image
- The estimated diffuse image
- The estimated specular image

Dac

# Conclusions

- - We have presented a Bayesian approach to the problem of reflection component separation
  - Our approach needs only one image
  - We compute the specular free image, which can be done on the fly for each hypothesis
- The problem of diverse color illumination sources will be dealt with in further works.

San

